Have you considered the value of carry-over corn silage on your dairy?

In discussions with nutritionists and dairy producers, there seem to be common negative observations when feeding fresh corn silage (ensiled less than 3 weeks). Some of these include an increased risk of acidosis, digestive upsets, and possible hemorrhagic bowel syndrome. These lead to overall poorer rumen health and digestibility capabilities, which in turn, leads to loss of cow comfort, health, and production and increasing economic loss.

Corn silage should be stored and fermented for at least 3 to 4 weeks before feeding out, but to take advantage of better starch digestibility of the kernel, corn silage should be ensiled for at least 3 to 5 months. Studies by researchers in Delaware and Wisconsin reported a gradual improvement in starch digestibility as fermentation in the silo progressed (Der Bedrosian et al., 2012; Windle et al., 2014; Ferraretto et al., 2015). This means that the fermentation process increases the energy availability of the corn silage, making it a more efficient feed, and leading to the potential for lower purchased feed costs. “How?” you ask.

Starch granules in the kernels of corn silage are surrounded by prolamin (zein) proteins (McAllister et al., 1993) that basically guard the starch granule and inhibit bacterial degradation of the starch in the rumen and enzymatic digestion in the small intestine of the cow. The acidic environment generated by the fermentation process of a proper length of ensiling aids in the process of proteolysis; the main mechanism that breaks down the prolamin protein barrier. Without as much of an insoluble protein barrier, rumen microbes now have greater access to the starch granules which they will ferment to produce the volatile fatty acids which the cow will then use for energy and milk production. Adequate and prolonged storage of corn silage also leads to a greater kernel processing score (percentage of starch passing through a 4.75-mm sieve). This is important as it signifies a greater surface area available for rumen microbial action.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Office Phone</th>
<th>Cell Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Quaassdorff</td>
<td>Dairy Management</td>
<td>Genesee County</td>
<td>585.343.3040 x 133</td>
<td>585.405.2567</td>
<td><a href="mailto:maq27@cornell.edu">maq27@cornell.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libby Eiholzer</td>
<td>Bilingual Dairy Management</td>
<td>Ontario County</td>
<td>607.793.4847 (cell)</td>
<td>585.394.0377</td>
<td><a href="mailto:geg24@cornell.edu">geg24@cornell.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Glazier</td>
<td>Small Farms, Livestock</td>
<td>Yates County</td>
<td>315.536.5123 (office)</td>
<td>585.315.7746</td>
<td><a href="mailto:nig3@cornell.edu">nig3@cornell.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Hanchar</td>
<td>Farm Business</td>
<td>Livingston County</td>
<td>585.991.5438 (office)</td>
<td>585.233.9249</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jjh6@cornell.edu">jjh6@cornell.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodi Putman</td>
<td>Field Crops &amp; Soils</td>
<td>Livingston County</td>
<td>585.991.5437 (office)</td>
<td>585.208.8209</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jll347@cornell.edu">jll347@cornell.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali Nafchi</td>
<td>Precision Ag</td>
<td>Genesee County</td>
<td>585.313.6197 (cell)</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:amn93@cornell.edu">amn93@cornell.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Sinclair Petzen</td>
<td>Farm Business Management</td>
<td>Wyoming County</td>
<td>585.786.2251 (office)</td>
<td>716.378.5267</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jsp10@cornell.edu">jsp10@cornell.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Stanyard</td>
<td>Field Crops &amp; IPM</td>
<td>Wayne County</td>
<td>315.331.8415 x 123</td>
<td>585.764.8452</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mjs88@cornell.edu">mjs88@cornell.edu</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To simplify information, brand names of products may be used in this publication. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not named.

Every effort has been made to provide correct, complete and up-to-date pesticide recommendations. Changes occur constantly & human errors are still possible. These recommendations are not a substitute for pesticide labeling. Please read the label before applying pesticides.

By law and purpose, Cooperative Extension is dedicated to serving the people on a non-discriminatory basis.
Extended fermentation affects both chemical and physical characteristics of kernels in corn silage. It is important to note, though, that these effects will not replace adequate kernel processing during corn silage harvest. Without proper kernel processing, (mechanically breaking the pericarp of the seed, and splitting/crushing/shearing it to pieces) the kernel is highly resistant to microbial attachment (McAllister et al., 1994), which means very poor utilization by rumen microbes. (Think about trying to digest a candy bar with the wrapper still on!)

Some ideas to ensure corn silage carry-over:

- Feed inventory planning. To determine dairy herd forage needs, and calculate forage inventories, visit: https://ansci.cals.cornell.edu/extension-outreach/adult-extension/dairy-management/

- For bunker silos with open ends, or for piles, increase packing density to fit more corn silage dry matter in a similar footprint.

- For situations where all feed must be fed out before refilling, put up one or more bag silos, or an extra pile the year before, making sure it is sized for a 3 to 4 month supply of corn silage.

**Bottom line:** Carry-over at least 3 to 4 weeks of fully fermented corn silage when you can.

---

**SAVE THE DATE - CORN CONGRESS**

**Wednesday, January 9, 2019  10:00 a.m. - 3:00 p.m.**

Quality Inn & Suites, 8250 Park Road Batavia

**Thursday, January 10, 2019  10:00 a.m. - 3:00 p.m.**

Holiday Inn, 2468 NYS Route 414, Waterloo

---

**Future Forest Consulting, Inc.**

DEC Cooperating Forest Consultant
Corey Figueiredo

**Ash Salvage Harvesting for Emerald Ash Borer**

Eliminate the guesswork in selling your timber. We will mark your timber sustainably, and have several reputable companies bid so you get top dollar while ensuring a quality job through our supervision and bonding. “We specialize in forest tax plans that reduce your school and property taxes up to 80% on at least 50 acres of woods.”

(585) 374-2799. Special Interest in Black Walnut.
Website: www.futureforestinc.com

**Looking to BUY OR SELL Land? FUTURE FOREST PROPERTIES LLC**

www.futureforestproperties.com

585-374-6690

---

**NACHURS**

Always the Innovator, Never the Imitator™

Since 1946, NACHURS® has been helping farmers achieve higher yields and profits, offering premium in-furrow starters, foliar nutrition, and micronutrients.
Contact us today to learn about the latest technologies NACHURS® has to offer.

---

**FEED DEALERS SEMINAR 2018**

CCE—Genesee County
420 E Main St., Batavia
11:00 a.m.—2:00 p.m.
Pre-Registration is Requested
Cost: $30/per person
$25 each additional
Lunch provided

**Guest Speakers:**
- Dr. Tom Overton
  Professor of Dairy Management & Director,
  PRO-DAIRY, Cornell University
- Dr. Kristen Reed Ph.D.
  Assistant Professor of Dairy Cattle Nutrition & NE Agribusiness & Feed Alliance Partners
  Sesquicentennial Faculty Fellow

The Seminars are specifically targeted for nutritionists, veterinarians, crop and management consultants, extension educators, and dairy producers with specific interest in nutrition-oriented topics. They have been conducted annually as a road show in collaboration with the Northeast Agribusiness and Feed Alliance and are designed to blend the latest concepts in feeding and other management aspects of dairies with field level application. Topics include:
- Maximizing milk fat on the dairy.
- RUMEnations on nitrogen efficiency (aka strategies for assessing & improving nitrogen efficiency through the entire lactation).

Register by 12/7/18: 585-343-3040, ext. 138 or email lr532@cornell.edu
Upcoming Webinars

November 12, 2018:
"Economic and User Experiences with Automatic Milking"
Presented by: Larry Tranel

November 19, 2018:
Dairy Management Mondays: “Stress Management”
Presented by: Suzanne Pish, Michigan State
https://extension.psu.edu/dairy-management-mondays

November 20, 2018:
Technology Tuesdays: “PDMP Corn Silage Trials”
Presented by: John Tyson, Penn State
https://extension.psu.edu/technology-tuesdays

Manage with Confidence

Dairy One offers DHIA record services, analytical laboratory services, and on-farm networking and software solutions.

- Customized DHIA testing
- Milk pregnancy and disease testing
- On-Farm technology, camera systems, and networking
- Forage and Soil Laboratory services

Dairy One
Measurement to Management
730 Warren Road • Ithaca, NY 14850
800-344-2697 • Fax: 607-257-6808
www.dairyone.com

KERSCH’S AG LIME, LLC
Calcium Lime - Magnesium Lime Gypsum-Organic Gypsum
BEST SERVICES - PRODUCTS - PRICES

For Sale: New and Used Lime - Litter - Fertilizer Spreaders
KERSCH’S AG LIME, LLC
510 Wyoming Road, Wyoming, NY 14591
Call Chris 585-356-9162 844-388-LIME (5463)
Fax: 585-584-3264
Serving Agriculture For 45 Years
One of the first steps in assessing pastures is to take some soil samples. Fall is a great time to take samples—any time before the ground freezes. Whether a new landowner, confirming the pH for liming recs, or checking fertility prior to establishing a pasture or hayfield, an analysis is worth it. The general recommendation is to sample every three years. Depending on the acreage, one third of the farm could be sampled annually. For consistency, sample at the same time of the year.

For accurate results it is important to get a good sample. A quick internet search will find you a soil probe, or just use a clean shovel or trowel. Use a clean plastic bucket or put a plastic bag inside the bucket. You do not want anything that will contaminate the sample. You will want to take multiple samples across the field, anywhere from 10-15 samples depending on the size of the field, 4-7” deep. If you plan on preparing for a new seeding, sample to the plow depth. Avoid sampling near manure or urine spots or unusual areas. In addition, avoid fence lines. The goal is to get a composite sample of the soil.

Prepare the sample for submission to the lab. Drying is an effective means of preserving the chemical characteristics of the soil sample. If a sample is moist, dry it first by spreading it in a thin layer on a clean sheet of waxed paper or paper plate and allow the soil to dry at room temperature. A fan may be used to hasten drying, but do not use heat or direct sunlight. Once dried, (or if sampled from a fairly dry field to begin with) remove large stones and any thatch or roots from the sample, break up any lumps or clods, and mix the sample thoroughly. You will need about one cup to fill the box.

While you are waiting for your samples to dry, pick up soil test boxes from your local extension office or order them from Agro One: http://dairyone.com/product/agro-one-soil-kits-with-cornell-nutrient-guidelines-ny-only/ or 1.800.344.2697. This is the soils side of Dairy One Lab, and the lab that will provide Cornell nutrient guidelines. The form can be downloaded. For most small farms form A1 has enough room for all fields sampled. (There are other labs that will test your soil, too.)

I have found over the years that it is just as important to fill out the form accurately as it is collecting a good sample. Fill in your name, address and contact information. If you are working with a consultant, or extension specialist or educator, enter their name and email so they receive a copy of the results. If the soil is not listed on the form you will not receive nutrient recommendations. If you do not have a soil map, you can get one from your SWCD or NRCS office, or find one here at the Web Soil Survey: https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/. Follow the step by step instructions to find your soil types. Enter the predominant soil type for each sample on the form. It is important to add the crop code for past years and future crops for fertility recommendations as well. Codes are listed on the back. If you are looking to establish a new pasture or hayfield, use the codes that end in ‘E’, otherwise, use the codes with ’T’ for topdressing. Enter the field drainage and percent legume, if applicable. Make a copy of your form, fill the box with the composite sample, and include payment.

The next step is getting the sample to the lab. The boxes can be shipped at your cost. There are some pickup locations around the region and they can be found here: http://dairyone.com/general-resources/sample-transportation/. Make sure to call the contact person prior to dropping off samples.

Results should be reported in a week or so. If lime or potash is needed, fall is a great time to apply, as long as the ground is dry enough or frozen, allowing some time to work into the soil through the winter. The rest should wait until spring.
The fall harvest is complete (or nearly so), and thoughts often turn to tiling out the wet spots in the fields and/or completing the primary earthwork for a project to begin next spring. Fall is the perfect time for these projects because there are no pressing cropping responsibilities and the freeze-thaw cycle will help settle any disturbed areas before next spring.

If you are planning on doing any of this work yourself, remember to call for a stakeout of underground facilities (gas, electric, municipal water, telephone, etc.) **BEFORE** you start digging. This is as simple as calling 811 or going online [www.digsafelynewyork.com](http://www.digsafelynewyork.com) and filling out a ticket. If you are hiring a contractor for this work, then he should take care of this matter.

**When Should I call?**

In general terms, any ground disturbance greater than 12” deep is considered excavation. Even if you are not actually digging, some normal farm operations are considered excavation because they have the potential to impact underground facilities, or alter the depth to the facilities, such as building up a farm lane.

The following is a list of activities normally associated with farming, but are actually excavation, and require a stake out. (This list is not all inclusive. If in doubt, call 811 right away. It’s free!)

- Fence Building or Repair
- Drain tiling
- Terracing (not usually an issue in NYS)
- Grading
- Contouring
- Ripping
- Deep Tilling
- Tree & Stump Removal
- Clearing or Grubbing
- Ditch Cleaning or Repair
- Trenching
- Augering
- Installing Cattle Guards, Dams, or Dugouts
- Trenching Water Lines

→ Burying Mortalities
→ Building or Repairing Roads
→ Installing Culverts

Even if you think you know where the utilities are, **make the call.** If I had a nickel for every time someone told the contractor or me that there were no drainage tiles, waterlines, leach fields, etc. in that field or that these items were, “waaaay over there” only to hit them in the next two to three scoops, I could retire quite comfortably.

![Dig Safely, New York](image)

(Continued on page 7)
Public Only

The markings and flags you will see after the site is staked out only cover public utilities. Anything that is specific to the farm (private) will not be marked, which brings up another important point: any buried facilities should be mapped as soon as they are installed. This can be as simple as drawing lines on an aerial photo (available from your local Soil & Water or NRCS office, or Google Maps) along with some measurements from at least two permanent points – edge of road, utility pole, building foundation, transformer pad, etc. Alternatively, you could lay a strip of detectable underground tape directly over the tile, pipe, etc. after it is blinded but before it is completely buried. If the project is large enough to have required the services of an engineer, he/she should include this as part of the as-built design documentation.

Parting Thought

If you will be disturbing an acre or more of ground for anything other than tillage you will need a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan or SWPPP (“swip”). This details how you will be preventing soil erosion from the site and subsequent pollution of road ditches, creeks, streams, rivers, etc. This will need to be developed by a Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control — a.k.a. someone who is CPESC certified. Many licensed engineers have this certification, but so do many in the Soil & Water Districts and NRCS, so you should have many from which to choose. Generally, these are not elaborate plans, but are usually more than just installing silt fence and/or covering spoil piles.
Commitment to Quality and Service

Feed * Seed * Fertilizer * Farm Supplies

- Complete feeds at competitive prices
- Customized feeds and complete nutritional feed programs
- Liquid & granular fertilizers
- Custom spraying and crop service

1830 Perry Road, North Java, NY 14113
(800) 447-3717  (585) 535-7538 Fax: (585) 535-0470
Please visit our website:

www.reisdorfbros.com
Soil Concepts: The Disputation and Motivation for Quantitative Assessment
By Jodi Putman


Take Home Message
Soil scientists conducted massive literature reviews regarding soil concepts (soil quality, soil health, and soil security), and summarized their definitions, visions, and constraints. The literature review showed:

- additional sophisticated quantification methods are needed,
- often only a single soil property/class is modeled rather than more complex soil functions, risks, or services,
- there is a lack in harmonization, standardization, and reference frameworks that allow soil comparison across regions and time,
- approaches frequently used to calculate soil indicators/indexes, like ordination and factor analysis, do not consider rigorous axiomatic criteria of scientific sound indication systems.

In summary, the complex soil concepts stand in sharp contrast to applied indication methods in the soil science disciplines and new indicators and indexes are being assessed as an alternative to the more traditional indicators/indexes in soil science.

Disputed Soil Concepts
Soil scientists, universities/researchers, bureaucracies, non-governmental institutions, and farmers have all worked on the approach and framework of soil-related notions, such as soil quality, soil health, and soil security over the past few decades. With increased awareness and understanding of the functions that soil resources can provide for bionetworks and human kind, many of these groups have proposed different conceptual systems related to the resources of soil. Each of these groups have defined the three major soil concepts differently. According to Mizuta, et al. (2018) soil quality is defined by SSSA and USDA-NRCS as “the capacity of a specific kind of soil to function, within natural or managed ecosystem boundaries, to sustain plant and animal productivity, maintain or enhance water and air quality, and support human health and habitation.” Soil function examples include:

- biomass production,
- storing, filtering, and transforming nutrients, substances and water,
- biodiversity pool,
- physical and cultural environment for humans and human activities,
- source of raw materials,
- acting as a carbon pool,
- archive of geological and archeological heritage (Mizuta, et al. (2018))

This approach to define the concept of soil quality resources can be viewed as a simplistic method and suggests some soils are better than others by using a single determining factor like soil organic matter (SOM), even though soils with a relatively low SOM content sustains its own unique habitat, such as soils in arid regions with limited SOM. At large, soil functions are difficult to assess and quantify due to the interactions of their functions, as well as, variability in space and time that are controlled by different soil attributes among geographic regions (Mizuta, et al., 2018). The soil quality concept guides researchers/farmers to utilize and allocate soil resources to sustain soil function capacity, however, quantitative assessment still needs to be reviewed.

The soil health concept has been used as an interchangeable term with soil quality by some scientists while others have differentiated between the two. Soil health has been portrayed as “an ability to perform or function according to its potential which can change over time due to human use and management or unusual events” (Mizuta, et al. 2018). The limitation imposed by this broad definition is that the potential of the soil is usually unknown and linked to specific purpose(s) (e.g., agricultural production, recreation, biodiversity, drainage). After discussion of the similarities between soil quality and health assessment, soil and agricultural scientists, natural resource management, farmers, policymakers, educators, and economists all have vested interests in soil quality with common goals targeting the sustainability of soil resources under diverse circumstances.

The term “soil security” has evolved and addresses the maintenance of soil resources on a global scale assessing

(Continued on page 11)
the total amount of soil at risk of being degraded. This term enhances the earlier concepts of soil quality and health that focused on the qualitative aspects of soils (e.g., capacity of soils to function).

**Motivation for Quantification Assessment of Soil Concepts**

The conceptual frameworks related to soil resources mentioned above are interrelated, and share the same goals to protect and sustain the soils, although they take somewhat different perspectives to achieve these goals and have different methodologies. Many groups have had similar questions but use different terminology, such as soil quality, soil health, soil care, soil resiliency, or soil sustainability (Mizuta et al., 2018). All soil concepts have been used to create awareness of our limited natural resources. In addition, other environmental/ecological concepts are utilized to depict the value of soils and how they benefit humans. However, if assessment of soil quality, soil health, and soil security is not quantified or formalized coherently, they will just remain shallow buzz words. Farmers, for example, often examine soil conditions by looking at color, pore size, softness, abundance of organic matter, water and soil life, and so forth. According to Mizuta et al. (2018) these measurements are highly subjective based on expert knowledge and experience, which conflicts with comparable judgments that enable decision-makers to evaluate the limiting factors of soil for a given purpose of use. Semi-quantitative methods such as the Cornell Soil Health Test (CASH) (Moebius-Clune et al., 2016) and others, have been developed to overcome these inadequacies by the inclusion of some quantitative aspects. These tests have applied approaches of semi-quantitative assessment of multiple chemical, physical, and biological soil attributes; yet, they lack accuracy and precision due to the model having limited scientific validity to accurately assess soil conditions or capabilities. New indicators (In) and indexes (Ix) are currently being investigated for better assessment of soil quality, health and security in soil science.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cornell Soil Health Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Id: 1_555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field/Treatment: Tenge E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillage: 1-7 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop Grown: COG, COG, SOY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Sampled: 12:00:09 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Basin Crop Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Given Soil Type: Muscante</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Given Soil Texture: Silty Clay Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinates:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Constraint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available Water Capacity</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Not Rated: No Field Proctorometer Readings Submitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Hardness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Hardness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate Stability</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Not Rated: No Field Proctorometer Readings Submitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Matter</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE Soil Protein Index</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Organic Matter Quality, Organic N, Total N, Soil Collagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Pathogen Pressure</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiration</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Soil Microbial Abundance and Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Carbon</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>164.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Elements Mg, Ca, P, K, S, Mg, Zn, Cu, Fe</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall Quality Score:** 71 High

---

(Continued from page 10)
Precision Agriculture Technologies: Adoption and Profitability
by John Hanchar


Summary

→ A USDA/Economic Research Service (ERS) economist concluded that adoption rates vary significantly across Precision Agriculture (PA) technologies – about half of all corn and soybean farms use yield monitors, while about 20 percent use variable rate technology (VRT).

→ The analyst also concluded that increases in returns above all costs are attributed to all three technologies for a US corn farm of average size – greater returns attributed to GPS mapping, guidance systems, and VRT are 2 percent, 1.5 percent, and 1.1 percent, respectively.

→ Future work of the NWNY Program will focus on evaluating VRTs for their potential to increase returns given the conditions and environment faced by the region’s farms.

Background

Precision agriculture continues to be a topic of interest to the region’s producers, and the advisory committees that direct the work of the NWNY Program reinforce this interest. One area of interest is evaluating variable rate technologies (VRT) with an emphasis on seed, potash, and lime inputs for their potential to increase returns based upon the conditions and environment faced by the region’s producers. At a recent Digital Agriculture workshop at Cornell University, I spoke with an attendee that asked about our program’s work on the economics of entry level PA technologies – auto-steer, and auto-section control on a row crop planter (nwnyteam.cce.cornell.edu, click “precision agriculture” in the upper right hand corner of the page). A USDA/ERS report that examined PA technology adoption and profitability was mentioned during our conversation.

USDA/ERS Study

USDA/ERS economist Schimmelpfennig investigated trends in PA adoption and whether adoption is associated with greater profitability. The study used the latest available, but now dated, national data on US field crop production through 2013 from the Agricultural Resource Management Survey. The economist examined detailed field level production and financial information for a large sample of farms focusing on three PA technologies: information mapping, guidance systems, and VRT. The analysis recognized that simple comparisons of profit measures between adopters and non-adopters can be misleading because other characteristics and factors likely impact returns and vary among farms in the data set. To isolate the impact of adoption on returns, statistical analysis methods were used.

The USDA/Economic Research Service (ERS) economist found that adoption rates vary significantly across Precision Agriculture (PA) technologies:

→ Yield monitors are used on about half of all corn and soybean farms.
→ Auto-steer systems are used on about one third of those farms.
→ Yield mapping is used on one quarter of farms.
→ Soil mapping using GPS coordinates and VRT are used on 16 to 26 percent of farms.

The analyst also concludes that increases in returns above all costs are attributed to all three technologies for a US corn farm of average size:

(Continued on page 13)
the expected increase in returns attributed to GPS mapping is almost 2 percent.

the expected increase in returns attributed to guidance systems is 1.5 percent.

the expected increase in returns attributed to VRT is 1.1 percent.

Next Steps for our Program

Producers wishing to provide comments and/or contribute to the efforts of the program as we work to evaluate VRT and other technologies for potentially increasing profits on farms in the region, please contact John Hanchar, jjh6@cornell.edu, mobile phone: (585) 233-9249.

(Continued from page 12)

Cornell Small Farms Online Courses are now open for registration! Courses starting in November are Poultry Production and Getting Started with Pigs on Pasture. The full list of courses can be found here: http://smallfarms.cornell.edu/online-courses/

Poultry Production

Many new farmers get started with poultry because it’s a relatively low-investment enterprise with a fairly quick revenue turnaround. The margins can be slim though, and farmers need to develop the necessary skillset to produce a product that is both safe and profitable. This course will help you get started in building a successful poultry enterprise.

Getting Started with Pastured Pigs

**NEW COURSE**

Pigs can be a profitable standalone enterprise or integrate into an existing farm structure. They provide a variety of products and are also ideal for turning agricultural wastes into a valuable product. Pigs make use of marginal lands that would otherwise go unused, and they can improve that land.

We support our local NY corn farmers by providing competitive bids for your old and new crop corn, including on-farm pricing. Payment within 2 days.

Give us a call to discuss our high protein (31%+), low fat Dairy Distillers Grain.

Bulk commodity and grain transportation services available through our subsidiary, Shelby Transportation. Give us a call for a transportation quote.

Call now for more information:

Corn: (866) 610-6705
Distillers Grain: (315) 247-1286
Shelby Transportation: (585) 734-4747
The Woes of a Wet Harvest Season by Mike Stanyard

What crazy weather we have here in WNY! We had too much rain to the south, drought conditions in the north and some areas in the middle where everything was “just right”. In the end, I think our corn and soybean yields will be above average, and in some of those areas that got the perfect amount of rain, there will be some personal-best yields.

September was wet and warm, and as some of the early soybean varieties matured, we had to pick and choose which fields we could get into. The rain has continued into mid-October. Soybean harvest is about 50% and we have barely touched the corn. Wheat planting is way behind because the soybeans are coming off late and the ground is too wet. Some of the wheat seed is rotting in the ground and I am not sure there will be time to re-plant. The woes of a wet harvest season. Here are a couple of things you might see as the season progresses.

Soybean Seed & Pod Diseases. What is turning my seeds purple?? Purple Seed Stain is caused by a fungus, Cerкосpora kikuchii, that first formed on the plant back in late August with the wet warm weather. It gives the leaves a purple cast and I saw it more frequently on the outside edges of fields. Another disease, Pod and Stem Blight, is caused by a Phomopsis seed decay complex. It causes seeds to shrivel and turn a powdery white. Both of these diseases overwinter on soybean stubble. Therefore, no-till/reduced till operations and those that grow soybean after soybean will be at greater risk of infection. Possible solutions would be some tillage, crop rotation

(Continued on page 15)
and more resistant varieties. Neither is known to produce toxins.

**Seed Shatter and Stink Bug Injury.** Unfortunately, many of our earlier maturity soybeans were fully mature as it started to get wet. Each time a mature pod gets wet, and then dries out, it gets more brittle. This wet-dry pattern can eventually lead to the pod splitting and opening the seeds to disease or falling to the ground. Four seeds per square foot is equal to one bushel per acre yield loss. There seems to be an increase in stink bugs over the past couple years. These true bugs will feed on the soybean seeds through the pod. Injured beans will be small and stunted and you will see a small hole in the shrunken seed. This injury can also lead to more disease issues.

**Corn Ear Rots.** We are seeing lots of different color fungi (white, green and pink) in the corn. They are more prevalent in years when we have cool wet weather during silking, have western bean cutworm or bird injury, and a wet delayed harvest. The main concern with corn fungi is mycotoxins. Not all fungi are toxic. One that we need to keep watch for is **Gibberella Ear Rot.** It is a pinkish-colored fungi that can produce vomitoxin (DON). It is the same fungi that causes head scab in wheat. Infected fields should be identified prior to harvest, harvested early, segregated, and dried down below 15% ASAP to prevent further growth.

**Pre-sprouting.** You know it is wet when corn kernels are sprouting on the plant. This premature sprouting is called vivipary. It is usually caused when corn under 20% moisture gets wet and can sprout. It can occur in wet years with varieties that have upright ears with loose husks. The kernels at the butt of the plant will begin to germinate. I cannot say I have ever seen this on a soybean plant but I am seeing some beans coming up in wheat fields! Bob Nielsen from Purdue has a nice article on this topic, [https://www.agry.purdue.edu/ext/corn/news/timeless/Vivipary.html](https://www.agry.purdue.edu/ext/corn/news/timeless/Vivipary.html).
NOVEMBER 2018

NYS Commissioner of Agriculture and Markets is interested in hearing from NY dairy farmers regarding the status of the dairy industry and their ideas as to improvements that could be made to various programs and institutions that impact the financial environment of dairy markets. The results of the survey will be collected and summarized by staff of the NYSDAM. The survey does not take long to complete. The survey will remain open until December 3, 2018. https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/GWC9YH3

New York State has allocated $1 million in the 2018-2019 state budget for the fifth round of the New York State New Farmers Grant Fund. Its purpose is to provide grants to support beginning farmers who have chosen farming as a career and who materially and substantially participate in the production of an agricultural product on their farm. Applications and guidelines for the New Farmers Grant Fund are available at https://esd.ny.gov/new-farmers-grant-fund-program. The deadline for submission is January 25, 2019.

DECEMBER 2018

14  2018 Feed Dealer Seminar with guest speakers Dr. Tom Overton and Dr. Kristen Reed, Ph.D., 11:00 a.m.–2:00 p.m., CCE-Genesee County, 420 East Main Street, Batavia. Program includes lunch. $30/pp, $25 additional. Pre-registration required. See page 3 or visit: https://nwnyteam.cce.cornell.edu/event.php?id=748

19  WNY Soil Health Workshop & Annual Meeting, 8:30 a.m. – 3:00 p.m. Quality Inn & Suites, 8250 Park Rd. Batavia, NY. Kris Nichols will be presenting information on Regenerative Farming Practices and Hands-On Tools for assessing soil health. John Wallace will be presenting Penn State research on Weed Management and Soil Health practices. For more information contact: Dennis Kirby at 585-589-5959. DEC & CCA credits pending.

JANUARY 2019

9   WNY Corn Congress, 10:00 a.m.—3:00 p.m., Quality Inn & Suites, 8250 Park Road, Batavia

10  Finger Lakes Corn Congress, 10:00 a.m.—3:00 p.m., Holiday Inn, 2468 NYS Route 414, Waterloo